INTEGRATED RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT, SCIENCE AND INNOVATION



ISSN 2582-5445

An Internationally Indexed Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal

www.IRJMSI.com www.isarasolutions.com

Published by iSaRa Solutions

IRJMSI

Impact of Proactivity and Work-Life Balance on Learning Orientation: A Multiple Regression Analysis

Prof. Ajay Kumar Chaudhary¹ & Aayushi Soral²

¹PhD. Head, Department of Psychology, Government Meera Girls College, Udaipur, Rajasthan ²PhD Research Scholar, Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur, Rajasthan

Abstract

Using a multiple regression analysis, this study looks at how proactivity and work-life balance affect learning orientation. Using data from a sample of 200 professionals from diverse industries, the study investigates how individual proactivity and work-life balance influence one's attitude towards learning. The regression model shows substantial positive correlations, with coefficients for proactivity and work-life balance of 0.14015 and 0.20272, respectively. These findings indicate that cultivating a proactive mentality and achieving a good work-life balance can significantly improve learning orientation. This study adds to the expanding body of research on employee development and organisational behaviour by emphasising actionable elements that organisations may use to encourage continual learning and flexibility in their workforce.

Keywords: Proactivity, Work-Life Balance, Learning Orientation, Multiple Regression, Employee Development, Organizational Behavior

Introduction

In an era of rapid technology breakthroughs and changing business environments, continual learning and adaptation have become critical to organisational success. Learning orientation, defined as the willingness to appreciate and participate in learning activities, is crucial for individual and organisational growth. This study investigates the roles of proactivity and work-life balance in determining learning orientation. Proactivity refers to self-initiated, anticipatory acts aimed at affecting one's surroundings, whereas work-life balance refers to the balance of professional obligations and personal life. Understanding these links can help strengthen staff development programmes and build a culture of continual improvement. <u>Organisational Behaviour and Learning Orientation</u>

Organisational behaviour is the study of how individuals and groups interact within an organisation and how these interactions influence performance and results. It entails investigating the mechanisms that govern behaviours such as learning orientation. Organisational culture, leadership styles, and team dynamics all influence an individual's desire and capacity to participate in learning activities. An organisation that fosters a culture of learning and innovation encourages people to be more proactive and seek ongoing personal and professional development.

Factors that Influence Learning Orientation

Learning orientation is determined by inner and extrinsic motivators, leadership support, and the organisational learning climate. Personal interests and career goals are intrinsic motivators that motivate people to study. Extrinsic motivators like incentives and recognition also play an important role. Leadership assistance, such as mentorship and coaching, may dramatically improve an individual's learning orientation by offering advice and encouragement. Furthermore, an organisational culture that appreciates and promotes continuous learning creates an atmosphere in which people are driven to gain new skills and information.

Work-Life Balance

Work-life balance is an important aspect in determining learning orientation. Employees who successfully balance their professional and personal lives are often less stressed and happier, which improves their capacity to focus on learning and growth. A healthy work-life balance enables people to devote appropriate time and energy to learning activities, fostering ongoing personal and professional development. Organisations that promote work-life balance through flexible work schedules and supporting policies can help employees maintain this balance, resulting in better learning results. Work-life balance is increasingly recognized as a crucial factor in employee well-being and productivity (Greenhaus & Allen, 2011). Adequate balance reduces stress and burnout, enabling employees to invest more energy in learning and development activities (Wayne, Butts, Casper, & Allen, 2017). Research shows that employees with a balanced life are more satisfied and motivated, leading to a higher inclination towards learning (Kossek, Valcour, & Lirio, 2014).

Proactivity

Proactivity is another important factor in learning orientation. Proactive people take the initiative to predict and handle future issues, which is directly related to the notion of continuous learning. Proactive personnel are more likely to seek out learning opportunities and participate in activities that help them improve their knowledge and abilities. Organisations may promote proactivity by promoting a culture of initiative, giving chances for skill development, and rewarding proactive behaviours. By doing so, organisations may foster an atmosphere in which continual learning is valued and practised. According to research, proactive people are more inclined to engage in learning activities in order to develop and adapt to their surroundings (Crant 2000). Proactivity has been associated to improved work performance, professional achievement, and personal development (Seibert, Kraimer, & Crant, 2001). According to research, proactive behaviour promotes initiative and resilience, which creates an atmosphere conducive to learning. Although the individual impacts of proactivity and work-life balance on learning orientation are well-documented, their combined effect remains underexplored. This study addresses this gap by employing a multiple regression analysis to quantify the contributions of both factors to learning orientation. By integrating these dimensions, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the antecedents of learning orientation and offer practical recommendations for organizations seeking to enhance employee development.

Objectives

- 1. To examine the effect of proactivity on learning orientation.
- 2. To Examine the effect of Work-Life balance on Learning orientation.
- 3. To Analyze the Combined Influence of proactivity and Work-Life balance on learning Orientation.
- 4. To Provide Insights for Enhancing Employee Development Programs

Hypotheses

- H1: Proactivity Positively Influences Learning Orientation
- H2: Work-Life Balance Positively Influences Learning Orientation
- H3: The Combined Effect of Proactivity and Work-Life Balance Enhances Learning Orientation

Variables

Independent Variables

Proactivity Work-Life Balance

Dependent Variable

Learning Orientation

Methodology

Research Design

This study used a quantitative research approach to investigate the links between proactivity, work-life balance, and learning orientation. A cross-sectional survey was used to obtain data from professionals from various businesses.

Sample

The sample included 200 professionals from several industries, offering a varied representation of work positions and organisational circumstances. Participants were chosen using convenience sampling.

Data Collection

A standardised questionnaire was used to gather data, which comprised validated measures for assessing proactivity, work-life balance, and learning orientation. Proactivity was assessed using the Proactive Personality Scale (Seibert et al., 1999). Work-life balance was measured using the Work-Life Balance Scale (Fisher, Bulger, & Smith, 2009). Learning orientation was assessed using the Learning Orientation Scale (Button, Mathieu, & Zajac, 1996).

Data Analysis

The data was analysed using multiple regression to examine the effect of proactivity and work-life balance on learning orientation. The regression equation was: $[\{y\} = 0.14015X_1 + 0.20272X_2 + 5.93065]$. Where, $\{y\}$ represents the projected learning orientation, (X_1) is proactivity, and (X_2) is work-life balance.

IRJMSI

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for the key variables: proactivity, work-life balance, and learning orientation.

Variables	Mean	Standard Deviation	
Proactivity (X1)	3.75	0.78	
Work-Life Balance (X ₂)	3.55	0.85	
Learning Orientation (Y)	4.10	0.72	

Regression Analysis

The results of the multiple regression analysis are presented in Table 2.

Predictor	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	p-value	Predictor
Intercept	5.93065	0.456	13.00	<0.001	Intercept
Proactivity (X1)	0.14015	0.065	2.16	0.032	Proactivity (X1)
Work-Life Balance (X ₂)	0.20272	0.060	3.38	0.001	Work-Life Balance (X ₂)

The regression model is statistically significant (F(2, 197) = 15.73, p < 0.001) with an R² value of 0.137, indicating that approximately 13.7% of the variance in learning orientation is explained by proactivity and work-life balance.

Discussion

The regression analysis shows that both proactivity and work-life balance are strong predictors of learning orientation. Specifically, for every one-unit increase in proactivity, learning orientation rises by 0.14015 units, and a one-unit increase in work-life balance raises learning orientation by 0.20272 units. These findings underline the necessity of encouraging proactive behaviours and maintaining a good work-life balance in order to improve employee learning orientation.

These findings add to the previous research by establishing the beneficial effects of proactivity and work-life balance on learning orientation. Practically, organisations can consider implementing measures that encourage proactivity and work-life balance in order to develop a learning culture. This might involve providing professional development opportunities, establishing flexible work arrangements, and instilling a proactive mentality through training and organisational policy.

A proactive mindset and attaining a healthy work-life balance may dramatically increase learning orientation by creating an atmosphere that encourages continual learning and personal development. Proactive people are more likely to seek out and participate in learning opportunities, anticipate future obstacles, and plan accordingly (Crant, 2000). A healthy work-

IRJMSI

life balance minimises stress and burnout, allowing people to devote more energy and concentrate on learning activities (Greenhaus & Allen, 2011). These elements work together to boost an individual's learning capacity and motivation, resulting in a more positive learning orientation (Kossek, Valcour, & Lirio, 2014).

Limitations and Future Research

While this study has some useful insights, it is not without limits. The cross-sectional design restricts the capacity to draw causal conclusions. Furthermore, the use of self-reported measurements may result in response bias. A future study might overcome these limitations by using longitudinal designs with objective metrics. Furthermore, investigating the moderating impacts of other variables, such as organisational support or work complexity may yield a more comprehensive picture of the factors impacting learning orientation.

Conclusion

This study found that proactivity and work-life balance are important predictors of learning orientation. Organisations may increase their workers' learning orientation by encouraging a proactive mentality and supporting a good work-life balance, hence driving continual progress and flexibility. These findings highlight the need of taking into account both individual characteristics and environmental elements when planning staff development programmes. Further study is encouraged to expand on these findings and look at other characteristics that may impact learning orientation.

References

- 1. Button, S. B., Mathieu, J. E., & Zajac, D. M. (1996). Goal orientation in organizational research: A conceptual and empirical foundation. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 67(1), 26-48.
- 2. Crant, J. M. (2000). Proactive behavior in organizations. *Journal of Management*, 26(3), 435-462.
- 3. Fisher, G. G., Bulger, C. A., & Smith, C. S. (2009). Beyond work and family: a measure of work/nonwork interference and enhancement. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 14(4), 441.
- 4. Greenhaus, J. H., & Allen, T. D. (2011). Work-family balance: A review and extension of the literature. In S. Zedeck (Ed.), *APA handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 3, pp. 165-183). *APA*.
- Kossek, E. E., Valcour, M., & Lirio, P. (2014). The sustainable workforce: Organizational strategies for promoting work-life balance and well-being. In A. C. Michalos (Ed.), *Work and Quality of Life* (pp. 295-318). Springer.
- 6. Parker, S. K., Bindl, U. K., & Strauss, K. (2010). Making things happen: A model of proactive motivation. *Journal of Management*, 36(4), 827-856.
- Seibert, S. E., Kraimer, M. L., & Crant, J. M. (2001). What do proactive people do? A longitudinal model linking proactive personality and career success. *Personnel Psychology*, 54(4), 845-874.

- Soral, A., Ramchandani, M., & Chowdhary, S. (2024). Proactivity, Work Life Balance And Learning Orientation Of Women Faculties Working In Women Colleges. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 10006–10010. <u>https://doi.org/10.53555/KUEY.V30I5.4693</u>
- Wayne, J. H., Butts, M. M., Casper, W. J., & Allen, T. D. (2017). In search of balance: A conceptual and empirical integration of multiple meanings of work-family balance. *Personnel Psychology*, 70(1), 167-210

ISARA INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT & PROFESSIONAL STUDIES



भारतीय भाषा, शिक्षा, साहित्य एवं शोध

ISSN 2321 – 9726 www.bhartiyashodh.com

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY ISSN – 2250 – 1959 (0) 2348 – 9367 (P) <u>WWW.IRJMST.COM</u>





INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF COMMERCE, ARTS AND SCIENCE ISSN 2319 – 9202 <u>WWW.CASIRJ.COM</u>

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES ISSN 2277 – 9809 (0) 2348 - 9359 (P) WWW.IRJMSH.COM

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF SCIENCE ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY ISSN 2454-3195 (online)

WWW.RJSET.COM

INTEGRATED RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT, SCIENCE AND INNOVATION ISSN 2582-5445

WWW.IRJMSI.COM

JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES, POLITICS AND ECONOMICS RESEARCH

WWW.JLPER.COM









JLPE